Middlemen and Resale

Ryoichi Imai

Kyushu University

August 15, 2012

Ryoichi Imai (Kyushu University)

Middlemen and Resale

August 15, 2012 1 / 37

- New Good Market Centralized with no/less search friction
 - you can immediately buy whatever you want.
 - you can immediately sell whatever you need to sell.
- Resale Market Decentralized with more search friction
 - you need to spend time to acquire what you want.
 - or to sell what you need to sell.,
- Examples
 - Houses and automobiles, books and CDs
 - internet retailers/auction sites
 - Amazon, e-bay, Yahoo! Auction

- There are considerable amount of works in the IO context, such as Courty (2003) and Moller and Watanabe (2009), among others.
- But no (major or minor) works have been done in the Diamond-Mortensen-Pissarides type search-theoretic framework.
- Imai (2011, mimeo.) presents a tentative work, while inventory constraint is imposed on the sellers, in the sense that each seller is allowed to hold one unit of the used good.
- Watanabe (2006 and others) develops a search model of middlemen, in which middlemen holds multiple inventory.

- Two markets: new and resale
- It relaxes the inventory constraint to allow for multiple inventory.
- Middlemen mediate trades in the resale market.
- Main Result: Resale creates a higher gain not only for consumers but for suppliers.

Environments

- O No resale
- Middlemen in the resale market
- Omprative Statics
- Sonclusion

э

- Time is continuous.
- There are three markets; a new and two resale markets.
- A single good is supplied in the new good market, which is frictionless, and monopolized or competitive.
- The resale market has two divisions: sell and buy markets.
 - In the *frictionless* sell-market, the used goods are purchased by the middlemen.

- There are numerous consumers, heterogeneous in the valuation of the new good, while they are identical in the valuation of the used good.
- A random shock lowers good's quality.
 - The 1st shock turns a new good to an old one.
 - The 2nd shock turns an old good to garbage/waste.

- Time is continuous.
- There are three markets; a new and two resale markets.
- A single good is supplied in the new good market, which is frictionless, and monopolized or competitive.
- The resale market has two divisions: sell and buy markets.
 - In the *frictionless* sell-market, the used goods are purchased by the middlemen.
 - In the *frictional* buy-market, consumers can buy the used goods from the middlemen.
- There are numerous consumers, heterogeneous in the valuation of the new good, while they are identical in the valuation of the used good.
- A random shock lowers good's quality.
 - The 1st shock turns a new good to an old one.
 - The 2nd shock turns an old good to garbage/waste.

- c: marginal cost to produce new good
- d: disposal cost of a used good
- g: operation cost for a middleman
- *p*: price of new good
- p_S: price of used good at which you sell it to a middleman
- p_B : price of used good at which you buy it from a middleman
- λ : Poisson rate for a quality shock to come at.
- x: valuation of new good
- x_L: valuation of used good
- F(x): distribution of $x \in [x_L, x_H]$.

- Each consumer buys a new good, derives utility x.
- Once hit by a quality shock, a consumer chooses to
 - hold used good and derive utility x_L , or
 - dispose of it, paying cost d, and buy a new one at price p.
- Consumers with x > P throw away used good and buy new one.
- Consumers with x ≤ P hold used good and derive utility until he is hit by the 2nd shock.



Without Resale (consumers' flow)

Ryoichi Imai (Kyushu University)

æ

< □ > < ---->

$$rW_1 = x + \lambda \max\{W_2 - W_1, -p - d\}, rW_2 = x_L + \lambda(W_1 - W_2 - p - d).$$

The threshold valuation P is determined by $W_1 - W_2 - p - d = 0$ as

$$P = x_L + (r + \lambda)(p + d).$$
(1)

The steady state mass of consumers with $x \leq P$, holding the used good is

$$n_1 = \frac{F(P)}{2}.$$
 (2)

The social planner maximizes the Hamiltonian:

$$H(P) = \int_{P}^{x_{H}} [x - \lambda(p+d)]f(x)dx + \int_{0}^{P} xn(x)dx$$
$$+ \int_{0}^{P} [x_{L} - \lambda(p+d)][f(x) - n(x)]dx$$
$$+ \int_{0}^{P} \mu(x) \{\lambda[f(x) - n(x)] - \lambda n(x)\}dx$$

where $\mu(x)$ is the costate variable adjoint with state variable n(x), and the new good is

Proposition: In an economy with no resale, if the new good is supplied at its marginal cost, p = c, the equilibrium is efficient and the social optimum is decentralized.



With Resale (consumers' flow)

æ

Image: A matrix

$$\begin{split} N_1^S &= 1 - F(P), \ N_1^{NS} = N_2 = \frac{F(P) - F(Q)}{2}, \\ N_B &= \frac{\lambda F(Q)}{\lambda + \eta}, \ N_0 = \frac{\eta F(Q)}{\lambda + \eta}. \end{split}$$

∃ ► < ∃</p>

Image: A image: A

æ

Consumers' decision 1

1

The value function of a consumer with valuation $x \ge P$, who buys a new good and sells a used one, satisfies the following value function.

$$rW_1^S(x) = x + \lambda(q_S - p)$$

The value functions of a consumer with valuation $x \in [Q, P)$, who buys a new good and uses a used one until it deteriorates, satisfy the following value functions.

$$W_1^{NS}(x) = x + \lambda (W_2 - W_1)$$

 $rW_2(x) = x_L + \lambda [W_1^S(x) - p - W_2 - d],$

We obtain

$$W_1^{NS}(x) = \frac{(r+\lambda)x + \lambda x_L - \lambda^2(p+d)}{r(r+2\lambda)}.$$

The thresholds P is obtained by solving $W_1^{S}(x) = W_1^{NS}(x)$, or

$$P = x_L + (r + \lambda)p - (r + 2\lambda)q_S - \lambda d.$$

Consumers' decision 2

The value functions of a consumer with $x \in [x_L, Q)$, who does not buy the new good, satisfy the following Bellman equations.

$$rW_B = \eta(-q_B + W_0 - W_B)$$

$$rW_0 = x_L + \lambda(W_B - W_0 - d)$$

We have

$$W_B = \frac{\eta [x_L - (r + \lambda)q_B - \lambda d]}{r(r + \lambda + \eta)}$$

The threshold valuation Q satisfies

$$W_1^{\mathcal{NS}}(\mathcal{Q})-\mathcal{p}=\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{B}}$$
 ,

which leads to

$$Q = -\frac{\eta(r+2\lambda)}{r+\lambda+\eta}q_B + \frac{\eta-\lambda}{r+\lambda+\eta}x_L + (r+\lambda)p + \frac{\lambda(\lambda-\eta)}{r+\lambda+\eta}d$$

Note that

$$P-Q = \frac{r+2\lambda}{r+\lambda+\eta} \left[\eta q_B - (r+\lambda+\eta)q_S + x_L - \lambda d\right] > 0$$

Ryoichi Imai (Kyushu University)

Pricing 1 (Resale-sell)

• The instantaneous profit of a middleman is defined by

$$\pi = y(q_B - q_S) - g$$

where y is the instantaneous quantity sold by a middleman.

$$y = z\eta$$

z is the number of customers who visit a middleman. η is the probability that a customer is served by a middleman.

- The sell-market is frictionless and competitive. Middlemen can buy any amount of the used goods, but do not hold extra inventory, since there are sufficient mass of buyers in the equilibrium.
- The competitive sell-price q_S is obtained by

$$Mz\eta = \lambda [1 - F(P(q_S))].$$

where M is the mass of the middlemen.

Pricing 2 (Resale-buy)

- The middlemen direct buyers' search by posting a buy-price q_B .
- The probability η that a customer is served by a middleman is derived by Watanabe (2006) as

$$\eta = \frac{\Gamma(k, z)}{\Gamma(k)} + \frac{k}{z} \left[1 - \frac{\Gamma(k+1, z)}{\Gamma(k+1)} \right]$$
(3)
$$-1 < \frac{\eta'(z)z}{\eta(z)} < 0, \quad \lim_{z \to \infty} \frac{\eta'(z)z}{\eta(z)} = -1$$

where $\Gamma(k, z)$ is an incomplete Gamma function, k is the inventory held by a middleman and z is the number of customers who visit that middleman.

• example: k = 20, z = 25, 50

$$\frac{\eta'(z)z}{\eta(z)} = \frac{\frac{20}{26} - \frac{20}{25}}{26 - 25} \times \frac{25}{\frac{20}{25}} = -\frac{25}{26}, \quad \frac{\frac{20}{51} - \frac{20}{50}}{51 - 50} \times \frac{50}{\frac{20}{50}} = -\frac{50}{51}$$

• A middleman chooses η and q_B to maximize his profit

$$\pi = (q_B - q_S)z(\eta)\eta - g.$$

subject to

$$W_B = rac{\eta [x_L - (r+\lambda) q_B - \lambda d]}{r(r+\lambda+\eta)} > ext{certain level}$$

The Solution

• The solution is characterized by the tangency of the indifference curve of the buyers to the iso-profit curve of the middlemen, which leads to

$$q_B = \frac{(r+\lambda+\eta)[\zeta(z)+1]}{\zeta(z)(r+\lambda+\eta)+\eta}q_S + \frac{-x_L+\lambda d}{\zeta(z)(r+\lambda+\eta)+\eta}$$
(4)

where $\zeta(z)$ is the reciprocal of the elasticity of the probability for a customer to be served with respect to the number of customers who visit the middleman.

$$\zeta(z) \equiv \frac{z'(\eta)\eta}{z(\eta)} = \left[\frac{\eta'(z)z}{\eta(z)}\right]^{-1} < -1, \quad \lim_{z \to \infty} \zeta(z) = -1$$

• example: k = 20, z = 25, 50

$$\frac{z'(\eta)\eta}{z(\eta)} = \frac{26-25}{\frac{20}{26}-\frac{20}{25}} \times \frac{\frac{20}{25}}{25} = -\frac{26}{25}, \quad \frac{51-50}{\frac{20}{51}-\frac{20}{50}} \times \frac{\frac{20}{50}}{50} = -\frac{51}{50}$$

- There are multiple points at which the indifference curves are tangent to the iso-profit curve.
- However, η is determined by the clearing condition of the resale market as follows.
 - The aggregate number of customer visits equals the mass of used good buyers.

$$Mz = \frac{\lambda F(Q)}{\lambda + \eta} \tag{5}$$

The sell market clears if the aggregate inventory equals the mass of used good sellers.

$$Mz\eta = \lambda[1 - F(P)] \tag{6}$$

(5) and (6) imply

$$\eta = \frac{\lambda[1 - F(P)]}{F(Q) + F(P) - 1} \tag{7}$$

The higher P, the higher q_B , and the lower η . The higher Q, the higher q_B , and the lower η . The mass of middlemen M is determined by the zero profit condition.

$$(q_B - q_S)\frac{\lambda[1 - F(P)]}{M} - g = 0 \tag{8}$$

Definition. Given the thresholds *P*, *Q* and the prices *p*, q_S , a set $\{z, \eta, q_B, M\}$ solves an equilibrium of the resale market with middlemen, if it satisfies (7), (3), (4) and (8). **Proposition.** There is a unique equilibrium of the resale market.

Ryoichi Imai (Kyushu University)

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Full Equilibrium

In order to simplify the analysis, we assume that $\zeta(z) = -1$. Then **Definition:** An equilibrium is a set q_B , q_S , z, η , M, P, Q} that satisfies

$$q_{B} = \frac{x_{L} - \lambda d}{r + \lambda}$$

$$z = \frac{g[1 - F(Q) - F(P)](r + \lambda)}{\lambda F(Q)[1 - F(Q)][x_{L} - (r + \lambda)q_{S} - \lambda d]}$$

$$\eta = \frac{\lambda[1 - F(P)]}{F(Q) + F(P) - 1}$$

$$(q_{B} - q_{S})\frac{\lambda[1 - F(P)]}{M} - g = 0$$

$$Mz\eta = \lambda[1 - F(P)]$$

$$P = x_{L} + (r + \lambda)p - (r + 2\lambda)q_{S} - \lambda d.$$

$$Q = -\frac{\eta(r + 2\lambda)}{r + \lambda + \eta}q_{B} + \frac{\eta - \lambda}{r + \lambda + \eta}x_{L} + (r + \lambda)p + \frac{\lambda(\lambda - \eta)}{r + \lambda + \eta}d.$$

The Baseline

The valuation is uniformly distributed. The baseline simulation is built on the parameters

$$x_H = 20, x_L = 3, F(x) = \frac{x - x_L}{x_H - x_L}$$

 $g = 10, p = 80, \lambda = 0.1, r = 0.07$

Image: Image:

э

g	8	9	10	11	12
q_B	16.47	16.47	16.47	16.47	16.47
q 5	15.83	15.83	15.83	15.83	15.83
Ζ	17.19	19.33	21.48	23.63	25.78
η	.73	.73	.73	.73	.73
Ň	$3.68 imes10^{-3}$	$3.27 imes10^{-3}$	$2.95 imes10^{-3}$	$2.68 imes10^{-3}$	$2.45 imes10^{-3}$
Ρ	12.12	12.12	12.12	12.12	12.12
Q	11.95	11.95	11.95	11.95	11.95

A rise in the disposal cost reduces the inventory and induces more stores to enter.

d	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.3
q_B	16.47	16.41	16.35	16.29
qs	15.83	14.57	13.40	12.32
Ζ	21.48	10.20	8.09	7.28
η	.73	.53	.42	.35
Μ	$2.95 imes10^{-3}$	$8.14 imes10^{-3}$	$12.2 imes 10^{-3}$	$16.2 imes10^{-3}$
Ρ	12.12	12.45	12.75	13.04
Q	11.95	11.95	11.96	11.97

A rise in the price of the new good induces consumers to rush for large stores

р	80	85	90	95
q B	16.47	16.47	16.47	16.47
q 5	15.83	15.89	15.96	16.02
Ζ	21.48	68.35	140.51	256.8
η	.73	.25	.13	.08
Μ	$2.95 imes10^{-3}$	$2.375 imes10^{-3}$	$1.86 imes10^{-3}$	$1.40 imes10^{-3}$
Ρ	12.12	12.95	13.79	14.65
Q	11.95	12.80	13.65	14.50

No resale:

$$P_N = x_L + (r + \lambda)(p + d).$$

With Resale:

$$P = x_L + (r+\lambda)p - (r+2\lambda)q_S - \lambda d.$$
$$Q = -\frac{\eta(r+2\lambda)}{r+\lambda+\eta}q_B + \frac{\eta-\lambda}{r+\lambda+\eta}x_L + (r+\lambda)p + \frac{\lambda(\lambda-\eta)}{r+\lambda+\eta}d$$

Theorem

The value of the agents with resale is higher than without resale for x < Q and x > P, while it is equal to that without resale for $x \in [Q, P]$.

Ryoichi Imai (Kyushu University)

3



3

∃ → (∃ →

< 🗇 🕨

By assuming p = c, we have obtained the welfare results as above. What will occur, if the new good is supplied by a monopolist? The monopolist profit is written as

$$\pi_M = (p-c)D(p)$$

where

$$D(p) = \lambda [1 - F(P(p))]$$

$$P = \begin{cases} P_N \equiv x_L + (r + \lambda)(p + d) & \text{w/ resale} \\ P_R \equiv x_L + (r + \lambda)p - (r + 2\lambda)q_S - \lambda d. & \text{w/o resale} \end{cases}$$

Obviously, the demand is higher with resale than without resale. What about the margin?

The monpolist chooses p in order to maximize π_M . The FOC implies an usual mark-up pricing.

$$\frac{p}{p-c} = \varphi(p) \equiv -\frac{pD'(p)}{D(p)} = \frac{pF'(P)(r+\lambda)}{\lambda[1-F(P(p))]}$$

In the case of the uniform distribution, F'(P) is constant. Then p-c increases as $\lambda[1-F(P(p))]$ becomes large. In the case of the exponential distribution with parameter ν ,

 $F'(P) = \nu e^{-\nu P}$ and $1 - F(P) = e^{-\nu P}$. Then

$$p-c=rac{\lambda}{
u(r+\lambda)},$$

that is, the margin is constant and the profit is higher with resale.

Theorem

Resale yields a higher profit to the monopolist, if the valuation is uniformly or exponentially distributed.

Ryoichi Imai (Kyushu University)

In the case of the Weibull distribution with shape parameter k and scale parameter σ ,

$$\frac{F'(P)}{1-F(P)} = \frac{k}{\sigma} \left(\frac{P}{\sigma}\right)^{k-1}$$

and

$$p-c=rac{\lambda\sigma^k}{(r+\lambda)kP^{k-1}}.$$

Then p - c is decreasing in P if k > 1. That is, the margin is higher with resale except for the case that the shape parameter k is less than one. Thus, for a wider variety of valuation distribution, resale raises the monopolist profit.

Reference (Industrial Organization)

- Giacomo Calzolari and Alessandro Pavan (2006), "Monopoly with Resale", The RAND Journal of Economics, Vol. 37, No. 2, pp. 362-375.
- Pascal Courty (2003), Some Economics of Ticket Resale, Journal of Economic Perspectives-Volume 17, Number 2-Spring 2003-Pages 85-97.
- Esteban and Shum (2007) "Durable-goods oligopoly with secondary markets: the case of automobiles," *RAND Journal of Economics* Vol. 38, No. 2, Summer 2007, pp. 1-23.
- Leslie and Sorensen (2009) "The Welfare Effects of Ticket Resale," mimeo., Stanford University.
- Moller and Watanabe (2009), "Advance Purchase Discounts and Clearance Sales," forthcoming in *Economic Journal*.

- Peter Diamond (1982), Aggregate Demand Management in Search Equilibrium, *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 90, No. 5, pp. 881-894
- Imai, Ryoichi (2011), A Search Model of the Resale Market, mimeo.
- Dale T. Mortensen and Christopher Pissarides (1994), Job Creation and Job Destruction in the theory of Unemployment, *Review of Economic Studies*, volume 61, No. 3, pp.397-415.
- Watanabe, Makoto (2006), Middlemen, the Visible Market Maker, Working Paper 06-10, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid.